

Message

From: Lindstrom, Andrew [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=04BF7CF26AA44CE29763FBC1C1B2338E-LINDSTROM, ANDREW]
Sent: 3/21/2017 12:54:14 PM
To: Libelo, Laurence [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=da33642e6438407daf4c35afe870046b-Libelo, Laurence]
Subject: RE:

<https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2017/03/the-paradox-of-defunding-the-epa/520002/>

From: Libelo, Laurence
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 10:15 AM
To: Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Subject: Re:

Hi Andy,

Thanks. Give me a call when you get a chance.

Everything they have the was submitted by the manufacturer in one place. Do we have a corresponding docket or on-line resource?

Yes. We have a folder on our CBI LAN where all the information associated with each submission to the New Chemicals program is stored. It generally does not get updated as new informatiOon is generated unless it is submitted and identified as associated with that specific chemical.

I'm assuming all of these test data came after PMN was approved - right?

My recollection is that the data was reviewed when it was submitted. I don't remember exactly what was submitted.

And all of these data probably came about because you granted conditional approval based on the condition that they would do these tests?

What was submitted and possible consent orders are CBI.

Do you all ever go back and look at the tests and say "hey, this doesn't look good, maybe we should do something about this"?

Yes. We have on-going intake, evaluation and incorporation of new data. Risk management is done by our Chemical Control Division and any action on new data is done there.

From: Lindstrom, Andrew
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 9:53:19 AM
To: Libelo, Laurence
Subject:

Laurence,

I hope you are doing well.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

So I'm reading the ECHA dossier on GenX:

<https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/2679/1>

Everything they have the was submitted by the manufacturer in one place. Do we have a corresponding docket or on-line resource?

I'm assuming all of these test data came after PMN was approved - right?

And all of these data probably came about because you granted conditional approval based on the condition that they would do these tests?

Do you all ever go back and look at the tests and say "hey, this doesn't look good, maybe we should do something about this"?

Thank you very much,

Andy